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Abstract

Overvaluation of shape and weight in binge-eating disorder (BED) is associated with greater eating-disorder psychopathology and greater weight-bias internalization, which are—in turn—associated with poorer mental and physical health. Little is known, however, about the significance of other cognitive processes, such as rumination, in BED. This study examined rumination and overvaluation of shape/weight with eating-disorder psychopathology and weight-bias internalization among 237 treatment-seeking patients with BED and comorbid obesity. Hierarchical multiple regressions indicated that rumination was associated with eating-disorder psychopathology and weight-bias internalization above and beyond the influence of overvaluation of shape/weight. Findings suggest that, among patients with BED/obesity, rumination is an important cognitive process associated with severity of eating-disorder psychopathology even after accounting for overvaluation of shape/weight. Patients with greater rumination might be more likely to dwell on weight-based discrimination experiences and internalize these negative attitudes. Additional controlled examination could determine whether rumination represents another potential target for BED/obesity treatment. Copyright © 2017 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd and Eating Disorders Association.

Introduction

Binge-eating disorder (BED) is characterized by recurrent episodes of binge eating (i.e. eating objectively large amounts of food while experiencing a subjective loss of control over eating) without compensatory behaviours (e.g. vomiting) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). BED is associated with obesity, heightened risk for obesity-related health problems (Kessler et al., 2013), and increased psychopathology and psychiatric co-morbidity (Hudson, Hiripi, Pope, & Kessler, 2007). Individuals with BED also experience distress about binge eating (Grilo & White, 2011) and impairment across domains of work, home and relationships (Kessler et al., 2013). Despite the distress and impairment experienced by individuals with BED, relatively little is known about cognitive processes associated with BED psychopathology. Research examining overvaluation of shape and weight (i.e. self-worth based primarily on shape and weight) has shown consistently that among individuals with BED, those who report overvaluation of shape/weight have more severe eating-disorder (ED) psychopathology and psychological distress than those without overvaluation (Grilo, 2013; Grilo et al., 2008). Moreover, overvaluation of shape/weight is a better indicator of severity than the DSM-5 severity indicator of binge-eating frequency (Grilo, Ivezaj, & White, 2015) and prospectively predicts and moderates treatment outcomes (Grilo, Masheb, & Crosby, 2012).

Less is known about other cognitive processes that could contribute to ED psychopathology. Rumination, a cognitive pattern that involves a repetitive focus on oneself and one’s situation in a self-critical and pessimistic manner (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991), is a transdiagnostic cognitive process associated with increased vulnerability to mood disorders, anxiety disorders and EDs (McLaughlin & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2011; Watkins, 2008). Rumination decreases cognitive flexibility (Davis & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2000) and problem-solving abilities (Watkins & Moulds, 2005) and is associated with reduced social support (Nolen-Hoeksema & Davis, 1999). There are two subtypes of rumination: brooding rumination involves a passive comparison of one’s current situation to desired standards, and reflective rumination involves a purposeful inward focus on solving distressing symptoms (Treynor, Gonzalez, & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2003). Brooding rumination is more strongly associated with negative clinical outcomes, including binge eating (Gordon, Holm-Denoma, Troop-Gordon, & Sand, 2012), than reflective rumination (Joormann, Dkane, & Gotlib, 2006; Treynor et al., 2003).

Rumination is associated with the severity of ED psychopathology in clinical (Naumann, Tuschen-Caffier, Voderholzer, Caffier, & Svaldi, 2015; Seidel et al., 2016; Startup et al., 2013)
Study aims and hypotheses

The current project examined the significance of brooding and reflective rumination on ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization in treatment-seeking patients with BED and obesity. We hypothesized that patients with higher levels of rumination would endorse higher levels of ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization. Because overvaluation of shape/weight is a potentially related yet distinct cognitive component of ED psychopathology, we also examined whether an association of rumination with ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization was maintained after considering the role of overvaluation of shape/weight.

Methods

Participants

Participants in the current study were 237 individuals (n = 70 men; n = 167 women) who responded to advertisements for treatment studies for BED and obesity at a medical school-based programme in the northeastern USA. Individuals were between 18 and 65 years old (M = 47.9, SD = 10.0), and self-identified as White (76.9%, n = 180), Black (14.3%, n = 35), Hispanic (5.5%, n = 13), Asian (0.4%, n = 1) or Other (2.5%, n = 7). Individuals completed high school or less (20.2%, n = 47), some college (34.5%, n = 82), or college or more (44.5%, n = 106). Patients were not eligible for the treatment studies if they were receiving outside treatment for eating/weight concerns, had a medical condition that influenced eating/weight (e.g. uncontrolled diabetes), had a severe mental illness that required a higher level of care (e.g. schizophrenia) or were pregnant. Individuals were included in the current analyses if they met full DSM-IV-TR criteria for BED, had a body mass index (BMI) in the obesity range (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², M = 39.45, SD = 5.92) and completed assessments of rumination and weight-bias internalization. This study was reviewed and approved by the university’s institutional review board; all participants provided informed consent prior to their participation.

Measures

Diagnoses, based on DSM-IV-TR, were clinically derived by trained doctoral-level research clinicians who were monitored to maintain reliability. Research clinicians administered the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 1997) to determine BED and Major depressive disorder (MDD) diagnoses, and the semi-structured eating-disorder examination (Fairburn & Cooper, 1993) to confirm the BED diagnosis. Research clinicians measured participants’ height and weight and calculated BMI (kg/m²).

Eating-disorder examination (Fairburn & Cooper, 1993)

Doctoral-level clinicians administered the eating-disorder examination (EDE) interview. This interview assesses ED psychopathology over the past 28 days, and longer intervals as correspond to diagnostic criteria, including objective binge-eating episodes (OBEs). OBEs involve eating an objectively large quantity of food while experiencing a subjective sense of loss of control, which corresponds to the definition of binge eating in the DSM. Overvaluation of shape/weight is measured as the average of two EDE items assessing the extent to which shape and weight influenced self-evaluation. The EDE also provides subscale scores on dietary restraint, eating concerns, shape concerns, weight concerns and overall ED psychopathology (global score). Items are rated on a seven-point scale; higher scores indicate greater symptom severity. The EDE is a well established interview with high reliability among patients with BED and obesity (Grilo, Masheb, Lozano-Blanco, & Barry, 2004). In the current study, Cronbach’s α was .81 for the EDE global score.
Ruminative responses scale (Nolen-Hoeksema & Morrow, 1991)

This scale assesses depressive rumination with 10 items about how much individuals repetitively think about the symptoms, causes and consequences of their depressed mood. Participants responded using a four-point scale from 1 (almost never) to 4 (almost always); higher scores indicate more rumination. This scale has good predictive validity such that individuals who report higher scores are more likely to become depressed (Nolen-Hoeksema & Morrow, 1991). The items load on a two-factor model, reflective rumination and brooding rumination (Treynor et al., 2003). In the current study, Cronbach’s α were .83 and .77 for brooding and reflective subscales, respectively.

Weight-bias internalization scale

The weight-bias internalization scale (Durso & Latner, 2008) assesses the extent to which individuals believe that negative stereotypes about overweight or obesity apply to themselves. All items are rated on a seven-point scale; higher scores indicate more internalized weight bias. This scale has shown good convergent validity through correlations with anti-fat attitudes (Durso & Latner, 2008). In the current study, Cronbach’s α was .85.

### Statistical analyses

Correlation analyses were used to examine associations among rumination, ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization. Hierarchical multiple regression analyses were used to test whether brooding rumination and reflective rumination were related to ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization above and beyond the influence of overvaluation of shape/weight. Analyses using the overvaluation composite score and the EDE global score (which includes the two overvaluation items) used a recalculated average EDE global score without the overvaluation items to maintain statistical independence. Finally, because of the association of rumination with depression and the comorbidity of MDD with BED, subsequent parallel analyses tested the relation of rumination with ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization in a subsample of participants who did not have comorbid MDD (as evaluated by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders).

### Results

**Rumination among individuals with binge-eating disorder and obesity**

Table 1 summarizes correlations among brooding rumination, reflective rumination and eating-related and weight-related variables. Both brooding and reflective rumination were associated with global ED psychopathology, as well as eating concern, shape concern, weight concern and overvaluation of shape/weight. Neither brooding nor reflective rumination was associated with dietary restraint. Both brooding rumination and reflective rumination were associated with weight-bias internalization. Reflective rumination ($r_{50} = .10, p = .03$), but not brooding rumination ($p = .07$), was associated with the frequency of OBEs within the past 28 days. Neither reflective rumination ($p = .81$) nor brooding rumination ($p = .36$) was associated with BMI.

### Table 1 Mean scores and correlations among rumination, ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Brooding rumination</th>
<th>Reflective rumination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brooding rumination</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflective rumination</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eating Disorder Examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Brooding rumination</th>
<th>Reflective rumination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBEs</td>
<td>19.8215.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBEs</td>
<td>12.6815.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOEIs</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating concern</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape concern</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>.40**</td>
<td>18**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight concern</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overvaluation of shape</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global score</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>20**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-bias internalization</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>.56**</td>
<td>20**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. N = 237; OBEs, objective binge-eating episodes; SBEs, subjective binge-eating episodes; OOEIs, objective overeating episodes; ED, eating-disorder.

*p < .05;  **p < .01;  ***p < .001.

### Influence of rumination and overvaluation of shape/weight

Table 2 summarizes hierarchical multiple regression analyses examining the influence of rumination and overvaluation of shape/weight on global ED psychopathology. Overvaluation of shape/weight was entered in the first step and contributed significantly to the model. Rumination (brooding and reflective) was added in the second step and significantly contributed to variance in global ED psychopathology above and beyond the effect of overvaluation of shape/weight, $\Delta R^2 = .03$, $\Delta F = 5.06$, $p = .01$. Brooding rumination, but not reflective rumination, had a significant main effect on global ED psychopathology.

A subsequent, parallel analysis evaluated the role of overvaluation of shape/weight followed by brooding and reflective rumination among a subset of patients who did not have comorbid MDD ($n = 119$). Brooding rumination and reflective rumination did not contribute above and beyond the influence of overvaluation of shape/weight, $\Delta R^2 = .02$, $\Delta F = 1.50$, $p = .23$.

Hierarchical multiple regression also examined the influence of rumination and overvaluation of shape/weight on weight-bias internalization (Table 2). Again, overvaluation of shape/weight had a significant influence on weight-bias internalization in the first step. Adding rumination in the second step significantly contributed to variance in weight-bias internalization above and beyond the effect of overvaluation of shape/weight (step one), $\Delta R^2 = .18$, $\Delta F = 40.93$, $p < .001$. Both brooding and reflective rumination had significant main effects on weight-bias internalization.

A subsequent, parallel analysis evaluated the role of overvaluation of shape/weight followed by brooding and reflective rumination among a subset of patients who did not have comorbid MDD ($n = 119$). Brooding rumination and reflective rumination...
accounted for a significant portion of the variance above and beyond the influence of overvaluation of shape/weight, $\Delta R^2 = .15$, $\Delta F = 14.66$, $p < .001$, but only brooding rumination had a significant main effect on weight-bias internalization ($p < .001$).

**Discussion**

This study is the first to examine the role of rumination in a clinical sample of individuals with BED and comorbid obesity. Our findings suggest that both brooding and reflective rumination are important cognitive processes that are associated with ED psychopathology, including eating concern, shape concern and weight concern, in patients with BED. These results extend previous research showing associations between rumination and ED psychopathology among individuals with anorexia nervosa (Startup et al., 2013), bulimia nervosa (Naumann et al., 2015) and non-clinical disordered eating (Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2007). The observed association between rumination and ED psychopathology persisted even after accounting for overvaluation of shape/weight, which research has consistently found to be a strong marker for specifying severity (Grilo et al., 2015).

Our findings suggest that brooding rumination is more strongly associated with ED psychopathology than reflective rumination, and this disparity is particularly the case for shape concern. This suggests that patients with comorbid BED and obesity who dwell on their current body size in comparison with desired standards may be especially concerned and distressed about their body shape. These findings replicate previous reports that brooding rumination is more strongly associated with ED psychopathology than that of reflective rumination (Gordon et al., 2012; Rawal et al., 2010) and extend these findings to a clinical sample of patients with BED and obesity. Moreover, brooding rumination influenced ED psychopathology above and beyond the influence of overvaluation of shape/weight, whereas reflective rumination did not. Thus, brooding rumination seems to be a particularly relevant cognitive process in ED psychopathology, even when considering the important influence of overvaluation.

Among a subsample of patients with BED and obesity without co-morbid depression, brooding and reflective rumination did not have a significant association with ED psychopathology above and beyond overvaluation of shape/weight. These findings might suggest that the associations with rumination are more important among those with depression than those without depression. The concept of rumination originated in depression research as an identified response to a depressed mood, and evidence suggests that rumination perpetuates depressive psychopathology (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991). If the rumination observed in patients with BED and obesity is similar to the rumination in depression, then it might be a way that patients respond to negative experiences or negative mood, which suggests that rumination would be weaker without the context of a depressive mood. Future research should examine whether the rumination observed among patients with BED, obesity and depression is phenomenologically similar to that of patients with depression alone, and whether the rumination observed in BED and obesity perpetuates ED psychopathology, negative mood or a combination of both, particularly as existing work has been inconsistent (Svaldi & Naumann, 2014; Svaldi et al., 2013). Research of this kind would have important clinical implications for integrating rumination-focused interventions into treatment for BED and obesity, or BED and obesity with comorbid depression.

Both brooding and reflective rumination were associated with weight-bias internalization as well as ED psychopathology. As with ED psychopathology, these associations were stronger for brooding rumination. These results are similar to previous research linking rumination with internalization of other forms of stigma (Borders & Liang, 2011). Both brooding and reflective rumination significantly influenced weight-bias internalization above and beyond the influence of overvaluation, which suggests that patients with BED and obesity who dwell on the causes and consequences of their negative mood may be particularly likely to internalize negative weight-based biases. Importantly, this

---

**Table 2** Association of rumination and overvaluation with eating-disorder psychopathology and weight-bias internalization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$df$</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>81.67</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overvaluation of shape and weight</td>
<td>31.54</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooding rumination</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflective rumination</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>102.56</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overvaluation of shape and weight</td>
<td>73.00</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooding rumination</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>$&lt;.001$</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflective rumination</td>
<td>$-2.45$</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>$-13$.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. $N = 237$. Rumination contributed to variance in global eating-disorder psychopathology beyond the effect of overvaluation of shape and weight, $\Delta R^2 = .03$, $\Delta F = 5.06$, $p = .007$. Global score was calculated without the two items evaluating overvaluation of shape and weight. Rumination contributed to variance in weight-bias internalization beyond the effect of overvaluation of shape and weight, $\Delta R^2 = .18$, $\Delta F = 40.93$, $p < .001$. 

---

finding persisted for brooding rumination even among the sub-sample of patients without comorbid depression, which suggests that the association between rumination and weight bias is significant regardless of depression.

Findings for the current study should be considered in the context of the study’s limitations. Our study used a treatment-seeking patient group, which is a strength in the potential applications for this patient group but limits the generalizability of our findings to non-treatment-seeking or community samples of individuals with BED. Because of the cross-sectional nature of our study, we cannot make any assumptions about causality. In particular, we cannot know whether psychopathology resulted from cognitive processes, or whether psychopathology led to cognitive processes. To address this, future research should use longitudinal and experimental methods to examine whether rumination predicts ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization over time.

Another potential direction for future research may be the exploration of underlying mechanisms for BED psychopathology that might be related to rumination. For example, emerging research on neural correlates suggests that among patients with depression, the limbic system and medial/dorsolateral prefrontal cortex are associated with the self-referential thinking inherent to rumination (Cooney, Joormann, Eugene, Dennis, & Gotlib, 2010). The relation of these areas to the regions associated with self-regulation and craving associated with food addiction (Gearhardt et al., 2011) and BED and obesity (Balodis et al., 2013) is unclear. Future research could examine the neural profiles of patients with BED with and without rumination, compared to patients with depression and rumination, to enhance our knowledge of potential underlying mechanisms.

With the aforementioned context in mind, this study contributes important new information about associations among rumination, overvaluation of shape/weight, ED psychopathology and weight-bias internalization. Taken together, our results support rumination as a cognitive feature associated with the psychopathology of patients with BED and comorbid obesity, even after accounting for overvaluation of shape/weight, which is a robust severity specifier (Grilo et al., 2015). These findings suggest that rumination might represent a potential additional target for intervention with this patient group, although future studies using longitudinal and experimental designs are needed. Specifically, it may be helpful for clinicians to identify and reduce rumination, and particularly brooding rumination. Rumination-focused cognitive-behavioural therapy improves maladaptive thinking patterns by helping patients identify negative and ruminative cognitive patterns and shift these patterns to more constructive styles of thinking, thereby reducing rumination and psychological distress (Watkins et al., 2011). Our findings suggest that rumination-focused cognitive-behavioural therapy, and other treatments that may reduce rumination such as mindfulness training (Jain et al., 2007) warrant consideration in clinical research efforts, although at present, any conclusions about their clinical utility for patients with BED are premature.
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